1 2	IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE Case No: 3SA90091 QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION SWANSEA DISTRICT REGISTRY
3	Guangas Civil Tustica Contro
3	Swansea Civil Justice Centre, Caravella House, Quay West, Quay Parade, Swansea SA1 1SP
5	Friday, 20th March, 2015
6	Before:
7	Belole:
8	HIS HONOUR JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN QC
9	– – – – – – BETWEEN:
10	(1) STEPHANE (A.K.A. STEVE) PARIS
11	(2) ANGEL GARDEN Claimants
	- and -
12 13	(1) DR. ANDREW LEWIS (2) MRS. MELANIE BYNG
14	Defendants
1 Г	
15 16	(Digital Transcription by Marten Walsh Cherer Limited, 1st Floor, Quality House, 6-9 Quality Court,
17	Chancery Lane, London, WC2A 1HP.
⊥ /	Telephone No: 020 7067 2900. Fax No: 020 7831 6864. Email: info@martenwalshcherer.com)
18	
19	
20	THE CLAIMANTS appeared In Person
21	MR. JONATHAN PRICE appeared on behalf of the Defendants
22	
23	EXTRACT OF EVIDENCE
24	OF MELANIE BYNG
	[Prepared without access to documents]
25	

1	
2	MRS. MELANIE BYNG, recalled
3	CROSS-EXAMINED BY CLAIMANTS, continued
4	MS. GARDEN: This is a bundle, your Honour, taken from disclosure
5	of examples where the same kinds of experience that we
6	documented are reported in Steiner schools, of which there are
7	many more than we can include here I have to say.
8	JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: In other words, if you go online you do a
9	search you can find a number of experiences being recounted
10	which are similar to yours and these are
11	MS. GARDEN: These are some of them.
12	JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: Some of them. All right.
13	MS. GARDEN: They are all in different places. Some of them are
14	on some of the websites that we have been discussing here,
15	Waldorf Critics website. Other websites, where people go and
16	make comments like Alicia Hamberg, she has removed a huge
17	amount of material off her website though. This one here is
18	from Carol's Couch(?) on page 2 at the top on
19	JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: Just to give you guidance. What I am
20	interested in, of course, is what Mrs. Byng has said or not
21	said, how she has reacted. I am not here to try whether
22	world-wide or in particular locations, experiences such as
23	yours are due to the philosophy underlying Steiner schools.
24	MS. GARDEN: Obviously what we are about here, your Honour, is to
25	try and illustrate how in this case, having said in the

AD-27

beginning it was all the same, because of something that happened which, you know, we are not really going into, then it was all turned around. In fact, these, if it ----

- JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: Just give me an idea what you want to ask Mrs. Byng.
- MS. GARDEN: I want to ask Mrs. Byng to confirm that in order to be able to mount her campaign against us, including in this trial, in these proceedings, she has had to run into the arms of the cult that she has spent so many years trying to expose in order to do that to put their point of view, to quote from their side. These are people who she thinks of as a cult. I would like her to acknowledge that that is in fact what she has done.
- MR. PRICE: That would be totally irrelevant and somewhat belligerent.

MS. GARDEN: It is relevant to malice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: I am way outside anything to do with this case. I am not here to deal with proving or not proving that Steiner philosophy leads to an unchecked bullying. I am here to determine a case in defamation which you are bringing, in particular by adoption of Dr. Lewis' blog and republishing it and a particular re-tweet of 9th November 2012 by somebody whom you have not sued, but whom you are suing in respect of Mrs. Byng where you are suing her for re-tweeting:

AD-28

	MRS. BYNG - CLAIMANTS
	"Lying, bullying, threatening How do Angel Garden
AKA@A	mazon NewsMedia and @sjparis sleep at night?"
	That is what I am here to try.
MS. GARDE	N: I understand but this goes to malice.
JUDGE SEY	S-LLEWELLYN: I need to get back to it.
MS. GARDE	N: Your Honour, the reason this is on the table at all
is be	cause of malice.
JUDGE SEY	S-LLEWELLYN: So your question is?
MS. GARDE	N: Mrs. Byng has no honest belief in the statements that
she h	as made about what happened as far as we are concerned in
the T	itirangi Steiner school and cannot have any reason to use
that	to try and get us off any platform whatsoever and that to
do so	she has had to, as I say, use the words of what she
descr	ibes as the cult and that is malicious.
JUDGE SEY	S-LLEWELLYN: I am going to translate that, I hope
accur	ately.
MS. GARDE	N: Thank you.
JUDGE SEY	S-LLEWELLYN: Originally you were accepting that the
claim	ants had had a dreadful experience at the Titirangi
Schoo	I where they were putting that forward as strong evidence
again	st the Steiner philosophy. Initially you were
suppo	ortive, then you stopped contact with them and on
occas	ion, such as the occasion which has just been referred
to, y	you were willing to contemplate the possibility or

AD-29

probability that it was the claimant's behaviour which led to expulsion rather than the Steiner philosophy of the school.

What is being said is that you did this volte-face of being willing to accept the Steiner account for improper motives springing from your feelings about the claimants. Do I have it sort of right?

MS. GARDEN: Yes.

JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: I got a thumbs up from Ms. Garden.

A. I think that it is certainly the case, as I said yesterday about bullying in Steiner schools, that it can happen. There is no evidence that I have ever seen provided that the bullying in this case, if it happened -- and as I say I do not want to criticise the child involved -- had anything to do with the Steiner philosophy. Therefore, the way that I have seen the claimants behave in the last few years is so extreme that it led me to believe that there was a distinct possibility that in this case the school was correct.

I have experienced Steiner schools where parents have behaved very badly and have been asked to leave as well. So, I have experienced different scenarios and I do not see it in black and white terms in which in every case a Steiner school has behaved badly or in every case parents have behaved badly. I think it is immensely complex and it is likely to vary in many different cases.

4

1

1		MRS. BYNG - CLAIMANTS
2		That does not mean that I am siding with Steiner
3		education in some way. I am not saying it in some way
4		maliciously in order to destroy a case. I am reflecting
5		simply on the claimant's behaviour towards me and towards my
6		friends over a long period of time and expressing that in a
7		private email to a very dear, close friend of mine.
8	MS.	GARDEN: So on page 7 of the little bundle entitled: Is it
9		the parents' fault? There is something that you wrote about
10		Steiner schools in 2010. This is your own writing. What you
11		said here is:
12		"More and more I am convinced that not only should the
13		UK tax payer not fund Steiner/Waldorf schools, these schools
14		must and will be exposed. The inevitable and desirable
15		consequence of this will be that they cease to exist. Choice
16		is not an issue. This is a farce, not a viable educational
17		alternative."
18		That completely contradicts what you have just said,
19		does it not?

I don't believe so. Α.

- It is not black and white. This is very black and white, is Q. it not, they should cease to exist?
- Sometimes I was, unfortunately, a bit more black and white Α. than I should have been but that is nothing to do with what I have just been discussing. I could explain to his Lordship

AD-31

more about that if you would like me to but I think it is not entirely relevant.

Q. Do you not believe that they should cease to exist?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I believe that the role of anthroposophy within Steiner/Waldorf education should be transparent and that therefore in their current form in which that is not transparent, it is not viable that that continues. I do not believe that the taxpayer should be asked to fund an education system where that philosophy is not transparent.
- Q. Do you or not believe that they should cease to exist?
- A. I believe that they should, whether or not I wrote this, if you are asking me, if I feel this now, are you asking me whether I feel this now, whether I think this now or whether I thought that in 2010?
- Q. I am not asking you whether you are changing it because you are sitting here. I am asking you whether you stand by what you wrote then?
- A. Ms. Garden, over a period of time, especially philosophically speaking, I do change my mind about things. So, things that I might have written in 2010 I might have a slightly different view on in 2015.
- Q. That is what I am asking you. Do you now believe they should cease to exist?
- A. I believe that anthroposophy, the use of anthroposophy within

AD-32

Steiner schools should be more transparent than it is. It should be better understood and that in their current form they are not viable and they should not be funded by the UK taxpayer. However, that does not mean that I feel that all Steiner schools should suddenly disappear.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. A final thing on this, please, on page 6. This is not a comment by you but it is a comment that Mr. Paris is asking me to put in front of you, Diana Winter said to you. This is when we were in mediation. She said:

"Sadly it occurs to me that the stories of what happened to her children are probably not exaggerated."

This is someone who absolutely hates us. She has written the most horrible things, almost more horrible than things you have written about me. Yet she still, because she knows, she is Steiner critic, she is the one who said to me, "oh, some of us have been doing this for decades, do not come in here with your silly ideas", but even she had to admit that what's reported is just standard within that problem of Steiner. Isn't that right?

A. I am sorry you are saying that Diana Winters wrote that. I do agree that Diana ----

Q. Yes, I am sorry, again because this is for the benefit of ----JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: I am not making a note of that because I am fascinated in one sense that Diana Winters has written that,

### AD-33

1		MRS. BYNG - CLAIMANTS
2		but it has nothing to do with this case.
3	MS.	GARDEN: Thank you, your Honour. The last bit we want to deal
4		with is the mental health smearing, please. Your Honour, I am
5		going to ask Mr. Paris to take over again because I find it
6		hard to discuss mental health smearing of myself?
7	JUD	GE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: Yes.
8	A.	I have two of these, do you want one back?
9	MR.	PARIS: Your Honour, this is again a compilation but we are
10		not going to look at it all because we want to finish this as
11		well as everybody else. I want to focus on what I believe to
12		be the worst one.
13	Q.	Mrs. Byng, how did you come about a clinical judgment of Angel
14		having a borderline personality disorder?
15	A.	This is from my reading, my understanding of what is called
16		the DSM-IV which is the diagnostic and statistical manual of
17		mental disorders. It is an American publication. It has been
18		superseded by DSM-V which was published a little while ago,
19		but at this time the criteria that I was very interested in
20		and had been reading about and in lots of different forms for
21		several years is what I was thinking about. It is my
22		reflection, this particular statement is made in an email to
23		my friend, Sam, I am not going to say her surname because she
24		is anonymous.
25		The email thread is very interesting in itself and if we
	1	

AD-34

would like to go into this in more detail I would like to have the entire thread in front of us, but, if not, I am happy to proceed without that.

JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: For the moment proceed without it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Okay. In order for me to come to terms with Ms. Garden's behaviour, because I am interested in certain personality traits and in personality disorder I have my own thoughts about this which are not to do with my husband. My husband's diagnosis, if such a thing had existed, which it certainly did not, would have been immensely complex. He himself is not so keen on the DSM criteria, this is not for him quite as interesting as it would be for some other people. It is much more complex.

I have always found it very interesting and for me it is a way of understanding certainly personality trait which I believe existed before I met Ms. Garden. They were patterns of behaviour which were pervasive and well established and it led me to believe that actually this behaviour was not in a way really aimed at me but was a pre-established pattern of behaviour which was played out before and probably would be again.

Q. So it is not a clinical judgment, it is just an opinion then?
A. Yes, in fact that phrase is a very odd one which I probably,
had I been writing it thinking that I was going to read it out

AD-35

## MRS. BYNG - CLAIMANTS in court I would have said, "Sam, according to my reading of DSM-IV I believe that this is my judgment, that this is the It is clearly not a doctor who is writing that because case". a doctor would understand that regardless of who was making that diagnosis or opinion it would be an opinion. Q. So when you wrote to Sam you said Angel has a borderline important disorder, this is a clinical judgment not a personal opinion. I think I said it the other way around. Α. No, you did not. Ο. Α. Okay. Q. That is the whole point. We can look at the original then if you want. JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: Just look have a look at the second of these entries, I think it is an important point. Α. Yes, it is very clumsily written. MR. PARIS: I think it is very clearly written. My friend Sam knows Richard very, very well. If what you are Α. saying is that I am pretending that Richard has made a diagnosis of Ms. Garden, it is completely untrue. Had I wished to say that my husband had made a diagnosis -- which is impossible because she is not his patient -- then I would have said so to Sam. There would be absolutely no reason for me not to say so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1		MRS. BYNG - CLAIMANTS
2	Q.	But it does seem that is what you are saying. This is a
3		clinical judgment not a personal opinion.
4	A.	It may seem as if that is what I am saying, but that is not
5		what I meant.
6	Q.	Okay, the very next one down the line then, you said:
7		"At the end of this there is his clinical judgment which
8		she seems to have forgotten."
9	Α.	Yes, you are going to have to discuss that with my husband.
10		It would be very difficult for my husband to completely ignore
11		behaviour towards his family but he did not make any kind of
12		clinical judgment.
13	Q.	Despite the fact that it looked as if he had in that email
14		then?
15	A.	You would have to speak to Sam. I have spoken to Sam about it
16		and if his Lordship would like me to tell him what she has
17		told me about her reaction to that I am happy to do so.
18	JUD	GE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: I think the focus, we are now on the third
19		entry, you are writing to Alicia: "At the end of this is his
20		clinical judgment which she seems to have forgotten". Now,
21		taking that on face value that looks as though it is his
22		clinical judgment of
23	A.	Yes, but they are in very different places. They are not in
24		the same email.
25	Q.	If you do not remember you must tell me but at least to start
	-	

1		MRS. BYNG - CLAIMANTS
2		off with do I correctly understand, first, that you are happy
3		to accept that you wrote this?
4	A.	Mm-mh.
5	Q.	It may be in longer email, but secondly that "his" refers to
6		your husband; is that right?
7	A.	Yes, "his" does refer.
8	Q.	Right. Well, on the face of it that does look like a
9		reference to a clinical judgment
10	A.	I realise, but they are in two
11	Q.	by your husband?
12	Α.	They are in completely different places.
13	Q.	Completely different places?
14	MR.	PARIS: They are in two different places. I have extracted
15		them from two places, but chronologically one happened in
16		January 2012, the other one happened in October 2011. So,
17		October 11 is the first one, at the end of this is his
18		clinical judgment which she seems to have forgotten.
19		Then in January 2012 you say:
20		"Angel has a borderline personality disorder, this is a
21		clinical judgment not a personal opinion."
22	Α.	Mr. Paris, I can see what you are getting at and I would like
23		to reaffirm that my husband has never made a diagnosis. His
24		
25	Q.	So you were making it up then?

No, I was not making it up and I was not implying that he had Α. made a diagnosis. A formal diagnosis is only made in a particular way. Your wife is not my husband's patient and, therefore, he could not have made a formal diagnosis. That does not mean that he does not make judgments about behavioural traits.

In many other places in my emails, well, not in many other, in other places in my emails when I talk about my husband I say, "this is not my husband". I say that in several other places.

I agree, you do say that in other places but you say that Q. later on. In here you were quite emphatic that there was a judgment and that is actually quite serious?

It is my judgment. It is my judgment. Α.

- Ο. You are not a doctor, you can't have a clinical judgment, can you?
- Α. Yes, I can.
  - Okay. Ο.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Α. Perhaps you would like to discuss that with my husband.
- No, I am just saying that as a civilian you can't have a Q. clinical judgment ----
  - Α. Yes, you can.
- 24 Anyway, there are quite a few emails where you say that Angel Ο. is unstable, that she is definitely borderline. I will not go

into any more, I just want to point out that there have been mental health smears done.

Excuse me, on the sixth one, the one that says tab 47, C7/3617 where you write to Alicia Hamberg, you explain that borderline, they threaten suicide too and she may have threatened other things which is why Steve may not want to risk leaving the children with her if she has ever thought of escaping. "He", sorry.

You were using the insinuation that there was a clinical judgment against my wife in order to further defame her. A. Mr. Paris, these a private emails between me and my friend Alicia Hamberg in which I discuss many things.

Q. They were published to a third party about my wife, were they not? That is defamation, isn't it?

JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: They are not the subject of this defamation.

JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: What is being said to you is, at the

MS. GARDEN: No, I agree.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

kindest, this is a wilder surmise on your part; is that fair?
A. A wilder surmise? It is, I don't believe it is ... I don't
know how to answer that. What is being said is it is a wilder
surmise. I think that it is quite a, it is a very considered
idea. It is not just said out of nowhere. What I am talking
about with suicide is the links between borderline and the

tendency to suicidal thoughts. This is not, this is saying
"people with borderline personality disorders threaten suicide
too" and then it says it "may". So I am simply debating with
myself, thinking about it. I am not saying this is the case.
I am saying it may be the case.

- MR. PARIS: So when you say in tab 19, C89/3905 yes, deffo borderline with a sprig of narcism, there is no "may", there at all; is there?
- A. No, but that is in a different case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. It is still about my wife. Actually it is about us, both of us, a folie à deux under assumed names. So, now I have got a borderline personality disorder as well.
  - A. No, I was not implying that folie à deux is something quite different.
- Q. Actually, yes, that is right, I seem to remember that I would have had to be more entertaining. Actually it is on the other page, tab 144, C9/4231, said "if he wants one from my husband" a clinical diagnosis, "an informal diagnosis he will have to be a lot more entertaining?
- A. Mr. Paris, I know this is very unpleasant for you to be reading these things but a lot of my emails are humorous, they are satirical, they are jokes, they are banter, they are not serious analysis. They are ----
  - Q. Mrs. Byng we have seen through all these points that I pointed

#### DIATO

1		MRS. BYNG - CLAIMANTS
2		out, you have tried to warn a lot of people about us, have you
3		not? You have smeared our names. You have even said to
4		Francis Gilbert that Angel is unstable and to a few others.
5		Essentially our point is you have attacked us and what we have
6		done was defend ourselves to the best our ability.
7	A.	I do not agree with that supposition.
8	Q.	I know you do not but the facts are all there. I think we
9		have one more question, your Honour?
10	JUD	GE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: Okay.
11	MR.	PARIS: Let me find the file we are looking for.
12	JUD	GE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: Of course.
13	MR.	PARIS: It is C4/2504, your Honour we have to go to
14		bundle C4/2504. (Pause)
15	MS.	GARDEN: I will do this bit. Can you confirm, please, that
16		this is a copy of a post off Ms. Hamberg's blog?
17	A.	Yes, it is The Ethereal Kiosk, yes.
18	Q.	This is the first time we can find a mention of somebody
19		talking to you about us on the internet?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	I just want to point out that you had found us, had you not,
22		because it says $h/t$ your Honour on the first page, that is a
23		phraseology that means hat tip. Which means, (unclear) is
24		responsible for bringing this information into everybody's
25		awareness. None of them had known anything about us before.
	•	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Actually, that is not, it is not correct that it is first of Α. all me. There is another person who found your posts and your sites before me. I can tell you who that is if you want. All I want to look at is that in June this Alpha Omega person 0. who is commenting at the bottom here is talking to you. She said on the top of page 2505, she is quoting how we felt about the unchecked bullying where I put: "As parents we were asked to watch our daughter being hit and accept it. Now the whole school community have all been asked to watch us being hit and accept it." That was felt to be worth quoting with the question underneath to you: "Can you find some way to get this into the ongoing discussion in the UK?" You have spent the last three years trying to make sure that did not happen, have you not, Mrs. Byng? Α. I do not agree with your argument in the sense that you have had a huge opportunity to publish things on your own sites and I have never ever attempted to stop you doing so. All I have done as far as, for example, Dr. Lewis' site, is to ask that you did not write about my family on his site. Q. You have just said that we have had every opportunity to write on our own site? Yes, you have. Α.

1		MRS. BYNG - CLAIMANTS
2	Q.	But you are calling that harassment, are you not? There is
3		not an opportunity, what that has done is give you the
4		opportunity to say it is harassment. You have never asked us
5		not to and yet, because you did not think we were going to
6		come back and sue you, did you?
7	Α.	Actually, I did think you might sue me
8	Q.	You knew that were libelling us but you thought you knew
9	A.	I thought you were assuming because you are litigious
10		Ms. Garden, that anything I said, anything I said to you might
11		be used against me.
12	Q.	You never said anything to us but what we hear about is the
13		fact that untruths, defamatory untruths have been published
14		about us. You never said anything that was written about you
15		was defamatory. It is not even in your defence that any of it
16		is defamatory. It is my free speech that you are objecting
17		to.
18	MR.	PRICE: That is unhelpful.
19	MS.	GARDEN: We have no further questions.
20	JUD	GE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: There is a choice either to cross sue in
21		defamation or to choose not to do it. The choice has been
22		made on the part of Mrs. Byng, if she considers that this is
23		defamation, not to sue you. Doubtless at the end there can be
24		submissions that either that is revealing to the discredit of
25		Mrs. Byng's case or that she may have thought that to do so
I	I	

# AD-44

1	MRS. BYNG - CLAIMANTS
2	would be to throw fuel on the fire.
3	MS. GARDEN: Which she has been happy to do.
4	JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: And I will need to take a view as between
5	those. That is it?
6	MS. GARDEN: No further questions, thank you.
7	JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: Mr. Price?
8	MR. PRICE: My Lord, I have nothing by way of re-examination.
9	JUDGE SEYS-LLEWELLYN: I have been going back through my notes.
10	Thank you both, I do not have any questions.
11	Mrs. Byng you are free to return to your own seat.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honour.
13	(The witness withdrew)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	••