

From: ANM anmletters@gmail.com
Subject: Re: GROUNDS FOR RECUSAL OF LORD JUSTICE PEREGRINE SIMON FROM CASE A2/2015/2839A
Date: 9 December 2016 at 1:29 pm
To: Civil Appeals - CMSA civilappeals.cmsA@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk, Civil Appeals - Associates
civilappeals.associates@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

A

Dear CoA

We note with increasing concern that you still have not responded to our letter from Monday the 5th of December, despite the obvious urgency of the matter with regard to children's welfare and the undeniable misrepresentations involved.

Could you please let us know when we can expect proper attention to this matter of severe injustice?

The long delay following LJ Simon's unreasonable refusal on making his inaccurate and further biased order is not compatible with ethical and well established protocols in service of justice, including the established necessity for Judges not to decide upon their own bias!

We have unavoidably begun to suspect that perhaps we are in a long queue of other cases of requested recusal due to bias.

Please respond to us as soon as possible, as we had been led to expect that the justice system was designed to avoid gross injustice, not condone or perpetrate it.

We will elevate this matter by all means at our disposal, as justice has neither been done nor been seen to be done in this case.

Kind regards,

Steve Paris & Angel Garden

On 7 Dec 2016, at 2:37 pm, ANM <anmletters@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear CoA

We sent you on Monday a formal application for the judge in case A2/2015/2839/A to recuse himself, having provided unassailable evidence of bias in previous case A2/2015/2839. Our initial request for refusal sent on 14/11/16 having been ignored, Lord Justice Simon was then able to further exercise his bias in his latest order.

We have asked for your urgent advice as to the correct procedure for addressing this bias in the interests of fairness and justice.

We urgently AGAIN request your assistance in this matter in which, on the basis of tampered evidence, children have been driven out of their home.

This fact was exactly what was denied by LJ Simon, against all evidence in refusing our appeal, and now our request to reopen this appeal has only been assessed by this judge who has an obvious and undeniable conflict of interest.

His assessment of fresh evidence proving the original case is extremely prejudicial, fails to understand even basic grammar and one has to conclude that it shows actual bias.

It cannot be the purpose of civil courts in the U.K. to preside over such obvious injustice in denying human rights against the Human Rights Act.

We again ask for your urgent attention to this judge's recusal and to get fresh eyes on the unmistakable evidence of fraud.

Kind regards,

Steve Paris & Angel Garden

On 5 Dec 2016, at 4:21 pm, ANM <anmletters@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Court of Appeal

We hereby submit our application for the recusal of Lord Justice Simon from case A2/2015/2839A.

We are submitting this as a formal application in view of his prejudicial order made without reference to the salient facts of the matter, and we ask you to urgently tell us, if this is not the correct way to submit this application, exactly which form we should use.

This application makes reference to the bundles supplied with the Applicants' application to reopen the appeal which we trust are still in your possession.

Yours faithfully,

Stéphane Paris & Angel Garden

<Recusal Request Application.pdf>