

From: ANM anmletters@gmail.com 
Subject: Re: COURT OF APPEAL ORDER - A2/2015/2839 A
Date: 29 November 2016 at 11:11 am
To: Civil Appeals - CMSA civilappeals.cmsa@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

A

Dear Court of Appeal

We are forwarding two unanswered communications, one letter sent by registered post on the 17th October (attached), and an email on the 24th November (copied below), both concerning our urgent application for relief from covert harassment and defamation in case A2/2015/2839 A.

One seeks clarification as to whether the new CPS guidelines need to be submitted to the court, by ourselves, in order to constitute new evidence in this case, in view of the similarity of the respondents' course of conduct, to behaviour described in those guidelines as criminal, including targeting, virtual mobbing, cyber-stalking, disability abuse, and harassment.

We respectfully also request an answer to our recusal request in which we have provided solid evidence that the Judge stated that a major ground of our appeal did not exist.

The extraordinary level of personal intimidation involved in bringing a case concerning covert attacks upon one's personal integrity, as well as being LiPs, means we obviously cannot be expected to effectively compete in an adversarial system, against trained legal experts who are allowed to openly and provably lie.

While we certainly hesitate to risk being seen to question the court, we need to respectfully remind the Court of Appeal that our words have been deliberately taken out of context and changed, that this has been denied, and that our family has been driven from our home, with absolute zero accountability for the defendants' failure to offer right of reply upon matters of public interest. In fact, this is recommended as necessary in democratic societies in accord with the Human Rights Act, as well as those revised CPS guidelines.

The extreme circumstance of a family with three children being driven from their home, as a consequence of so many undeniable anomalies, must in itself be a powerful accelerant for justice to honestly examine if indeed there has been a mistrial in this case.

We know that the Respondents have sent their submission last week, and yet we do not even know whether the Judge will admit to a clear conflict of interest, or subject us to further intimidation.

Yours faithfully,

Steve Paris & Angel Garden

On 24/11/2016, at 10:03 am, ANM <anmletters@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear V Cahill

Please clarify whether this communication is from the Lord Justice as he did not reply to our letter, and whether that means he is refusing to recuse himself.

Kind regards,

Steve Paris & Angel Garden

On 23 Nov 2016, at 4:49 pm, Civil Appeals - CMSA <civilappeals.cmsa@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Sir/Madam,

We acknowledge receipt of your email the contents of which are noted.

Yours faithfully,

V Cahill
Case Management Section A
Room E323
Civil Appeals Office
Royal Courts of Justice
Strand
WC2A 2LL
DX: 44450 Strand
Tel: 0207 947 7985
civilappeals.cmsa@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk

-----Original Message-----

From: Civil Appeals - Associates
Sent: 14 November 2016 12:40
To: Civil Appeals - CMSA
Subject: FW: COURT OF APPEAL ORDER - A2/2015/2839 A