

Civil Appeals Office Registry Room E307
3rd Floor East Block
Royal Courts of Justice Strand
London WC2A 2LL

Stéphane Paris & Angel Garden
9 Lon Bryngwyn
Sketty
Swansea SA2 0TX

Monday, 17th of October 2016

Ref: 3SA90091 & A2/2015/2839

Dear Court of Appeal

On receipt of your letter of 19th September we made the indicated urgent application containing fresh evidence in cases 3SA90091 and A2/2015/2839 on the 4th October and it was received by you on the 5th at 10:31am although we have received no acknowledgement.

The fresh evidence is incontrovertible and admitted proof that a fraud was committed in court which is resulting in real and frightening injustice of criminal harassment by a fake mental health diagnosis being perpetrated while the perpetrators are given our home by a court order. Our application was for that unjust Order to be urgently set aside and appeals opened in both defamation, as the fresh evidence is an admission of malice, and also harassment as it is an admission of conscious harassment achieved by stalking, monitoring and spying.

In the interim, the urgency of the situation has been reemphasised by the release of updated CPS guidance, in which the course of conduct of the defendants is markedly present, including virtual mobbing, disability hate-crime, stalking, and the targeting of individuals.

If the Defamation Act is not up to prosecuting behaviour highlighted as criminal by the CPS, individuals targeted using covert means should not be asked to bear the brunt, having no other option than civil proceedings, due to the covert nature of the networked and coordinated abuse, and will have no effective remedy under the Protection from Harassment Act if such is arbitrarily denied. The fresh evidence submitted to the court on the 5th October shows the harassment being perpetrated even through legal action, as the convincing demeanour of the defendant is proved to be a fraud upon the court.

Where behaviour highlighted as criminal by the CPS is known, it must be justice that no Civil Act or process should be used or interpreted in such a fashion as to deny or hide that fact on any technicality. The police have been informed about the fraud, perjury and disability hate-crime, and they have advised us to come back to you in the first instance, as being the body with the power to overturn the unjust Order forcing us to give our home to the perpetrators.

Please tell us if the CPS Guidance constitutes fresh civil evidence and requires a submission.

While we are not hearing from you, the perpetrators of this fraud continue to pursue us aggressively, and this is a further injustice.

Yours faithfully,

Stéphane Paris & Angel Garden